Current Interactions from Nonlinear Higher-Spin Equations

M.A.Vasiliev

arXiv:1605.02662

Lebedev Institute, Moscow

Higher Spin Theories and Holography-4

Lebedev Institute Moscow, May 31, 2016

Higher derivatives in HS interactions

HS interactions contain higher derivatives Bengtsson, Bengtsson, Brink (1983) Nonanaliticity in Λ via dimensionless combination $\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ (Fradkin, MV 1987)

By a seemingly local field redefinition it is possible to get rid of currents from HS field equations including the stress tensor (Prokushkin, MV 1998)

$$\phi \to \phi' = \phi + \sum_{n} a_{nm} (\rho D)^n \phi (\rho D)^m \phi + \dots,$$

 ρ is the AdS radius, D is the space-time covariant derivative.

The problem: find restrictions on a_{nm} distinguishing between truly non-local and generalized local field redefinitions containing an infinite number of terms but a_{nm} decreasing fast enough with n and m.

The problems in AdS_d and Minkowski space are essentially different

Locality versus Nonlocality

For a massive field equation

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0$$

Greens function can be represented in the pseudolocal form

$$G = (\Box + m^2)^{-1} = m^{-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\Box}{m^2} \right)^n$$

Constant expansion coefficients imply nonlocality. m^2 is a counterpart of Λ for massless particles in AdS

The problem is to look for a class of field redefinitions which

- are closed under multiple application: form an algebra
- rule out obviously nonlocal field redefinitions like those resulting from Greens functions

Nonlinear HS equations

$$\mathcal{W}(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = (\mathsf{d}+W) + S, \qquad W = dx^{n}W_{n}, \qquad S = \theta^{\alpha}S_{\alpha} + \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}} \qquad 1992$$
$$\mathcal{W} \star \mathcal{W} = i(\theta^{A}\theta_{A} + \eta\theta^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}B \star k \star \kappa + \bar{\eta}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}B \star \bar{k} \star \bar{\kappa})$$
$$\mathcal{W} \star B = B \star \mathcal{W}, \qquad B = B(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x)$$

HS star product

$$(f \star g)(Z;Y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 U \, d^4 V \exp\left[iU_A V^A\right] f(Z+U;Y+U)g(Z-V;Y+V)$$

$$\kappa = \exp iz_\alpha y^\alpha, \qquad \bar{\kappa} = \exp i\bar{z}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

Massless fields

$$\mathcal{W}(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = \mathcal{W}(Z;Y;-k,-\bar{k}|x), \ B(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = -B(Z;Y;-k,-\bar{k}|x)$$

Topological fields

 $\mathcal{W}(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = -\mathcal{W}(Z;Y;-k,-\bar{k}|x), \ B(Z;Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = B(Z;Y;-k,-\bar{k}|x)$

Perturbative analysis

The standard vacuum solution is B = 0 and

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathsf{d}_x + Q + W_0(Y|x), \qquad Q := \theta^A Z_A$$

The space-time one-form $W_0(Y|x)$ solves the flatness equation

$$AdS:$$
 $d_x W_0(Y|x) + W_0(Y|x) \star W_0(Y|x) = 0$

The star-commutator with Q yields de Rham derivative in Z^A

$$Q \star f(Z;Y) - (-1)^{deg_f} f(Z;Y) \star Q = -2i \mathsf{d}_Z f(Z;Y), \qquad \mathsf{d}_Z = \theta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A}$$

 \cap

Standard homotopy formula:

$$\mathsf{d}_Z g(\theta_Z; Z; Y) = f(\theta_Z; Z; Y) \implies g(\theta_Z; Z; Y) = \partial_Z^* f + \mathsf{d}_Z \varepsilon + g(0; 0; Y)$$

 $d_Z \varepsilon$: exact forms

g(0;0;Y): de Rham cohomology

Dynamical fields in de Rham cohomology:

 $C(Y; k, \overline{k}|x) = B(0; Y; k, \overline{k}|x), \qquad \omega(Y; k, \overline{k}|x) = W(0; Y; k, \overline{k}|x)$

Fields and Currents

Spin s is described by the 1-forms $\omega(y, \bar{y}|x)$ and 0-form $C(y, \bar{y}|x)$ obeying

$$\omega(\mu y, \mu \bar{y} \mid x) = \mu^{2(s-1)} \omega(y, \bar{y} \mid x), \qquad C(\mu y, \mu^{-1} \bar{y} \mid x) = \mu^{\pm 2s} C(y, \bar{y} \mid x)$$

Generalized Weyl tensors C(y, 0|x) and $C(0, \overline{y}|x)$ describe gauge invariant combinations of derivatives of the gauge fields of spins $s \ge 1$ and matter fields of spins s = 0, 1/2

C(y, 0|x) and $C(0, \overline{y}|x)$ are primaries of the Weyl module formed by $C(y, \overline{y}|x)$ Higher powers in y and \overline{y} for a given spin contain higher derivatives

Conserved currents $J(Y_1, Y_2|x)$ are associated with the bilinears of C(Y|x)

$$J(Y_1, Y_2|x) := C(Y_1|x)\tilde{C}(Y_2|x), \qquad \tilde{C}(y, \bar{y}|x) = C(-y, \bar{y}|x).$$

As a consequence of the rank-one equation for C(Y|x), the current $J(Y_1, Y_2|x)$ obeys the rank-two equation Gelfond, MV (2003)

$$\tilde{D}_2 J(Y_1, Y_2 | x) = 0, \qquad \tilde{D}_2 := D^L - i\lambda h^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \Big(y_{1\alpha} \bar{y}_{1\dot{\beta}} - y_{2\alpha} \bar{y}_{2\dot{\beta}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^{\alpha} \partial \bar{y}_1^{\dot{\beta}}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^{\alpha} \partial \bar{y}_2^{\dot{\beta}}} \Big)$$

Current deformation

Current deformation can be formulated as a linear system

$$D\omega + L(w,C) + \Gamma_{cur}(w,J) = 0,$$
$$\tilde{D}C + \mathcal{H}_{cur}(w,J) = 0, \qquad \tilde{D}_2 J(Y_1, Y_2 | x) = 0$$
$$L(w,C) := i \left(\eta \overline{H}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \overline{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial \overline{y}^{\dot{\beta}}} \ \overline{C}(0,\overline{y}|x) + \overline{\eta} H^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} \ C(y,0|x) \right)$$

Linear functionals Γ and \mathcal{H} should obey the compatibility conditions The freedom in $\Gamma_{cur}(w, J)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w, J)$ results from field redefinitions

$$\omega \to \omega' = \omega + \Omega(w, J), \qquad C \to C' = C + \Phi(J)$$

Nontrivial $\Gamma_{cur}(w, J)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w, J)$ cannot be removed by a field redefinition. Usual current interactions are nontrivial. Schematically,

$$J=J_0+\Delta J\,,$$

where ΔJ is an improvement that can be removed by a field redefinition. Concept of (non)triviality of the currents depends on the class of field redefinitions

Unfolded form of usual current interactions

For simplicity: 0-form sector

Gelfond, MV (2010)

$$\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w,J) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 d\tau \sum_{h_1,h_2,h_J} a(h_1,h_2,h_J) \int \frac{d\bar{s}d\bar{t}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[\bar{s}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{t}^{\dot{\beta}}]$$
$$h(y,\tau\bar{s}+(1-\tau)\bar{t})J_{h_1,h_2,h_J}(\tau y,-(1-\tau)y,\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t})+c.c.,$$

$$h(u,\bar{u}) = h^{\alpha\alpha} u_{\alpha} \bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

 J_{h_1,h_2,h_J} is the projection of J to the helicities h_1, h_2, h_J . Coefficients $a(h_1, h_2, h_J)$ remain undetermined at this level.

 $\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w,J)$ is local, containing a finite number of terms for any h_1, h_2, h_J .

 $\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w, J)$ properly reproduces usual current interactions Gelfond, MV 2010

Locality in the twistor variables

Technically, locality is due to the absence of integration over s and t.

$$\int \frac{dsdt}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[s_{\beta}t^{\beta}] f(y+s,\bar{y})g(y+t,\bar{y}) = f(y,\bar{y}) \exp[-i\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\alpha}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}]g(y,\bar{y})$$
$$\int \frac{d\bar{s}d\bar{t}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[\bar{s}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{t}^{\dot{\beta}}] f(y,\bar{y}+\bar{s})g(y,\bar{y}+\bar{t}) = f(y,\bar{y}) \exp[-i\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}]g(y,\bar{y})$$

For given helicities carried by g and f, only a single term in the sum contributes hence containing a finite number of derivatives.

When both integrations are present, the number of derivatives in y and \overline{y} can be infinitely increased without affecting the helicities carried by g and f, implying appearance of infinite tails of derivatives and hence nonlocality.

Current deformation from nonlinear equations

In the 0-form sector the deformation is

 $D_0C + [\omega, C]_* + \mathcal{H}(w, J) = 0,$

 $J(y_1, y_2; \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2; K|x) = C(y_1, \bar{y}_1; k, \bar{k}|x)C(y_2, \bar{y}_2; k, \bar{k}|x)$

A simple computation using the new technique Didenko, Misuna, MV 2015

$$\mathcal{H}(w,J) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta}(w,J) + \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\eta}}(w,J),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(w,J) = -\frac{i}{2}\eta \int \frac{dSdT}{(2\pi)^4} \exp iS_A T^A \int_0^1 d\tau \\ [h(s,\tau\bar{y}-(1-\tau)\bar{t})J(\tau s,-(1-\tau)y+t;\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t};k,\bar{k})] \\ -h(t,\tau\bar{y}-(1-\tau)\bar{s})J((1-\tau)y+s,\tau t,\bar{y}+\bar{s};\bar{y}+\bar{t};k,\bar{k})] * k$$

This deformation is not local, containing integrations over s, t and $\overline{s}, \overline{t}$. Here h depends on \overline{y} instead of y in the local current deformation. The two terms result from those in the commutator $[\mathcal{W}, B]_*$

Field redefinition

To reproduce standard current interactions we have to find a field redefinition

$$C \to C'(Y; k, \bar{k}|x) = C(Y; k, \bar{k}|x) + \Phi(Y; k, \bar{k}|x)$$

with Φ linear in J bringing $\mathcal{H}(w,J)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{cur}(w,J)$

First field redefinition

$$\Phi_{1\eta}(Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = \eta \int \frac{dSdT}{(2\pi)^4} \exp iS_A T^A \int d\tau_i \prod_{i=1}^3 \theta(\tau_i) \delta\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \tau_i\right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_3} J(\tau_3 s + \tau_1 y, t - \tau_2 y; \bar{y} + \bar{s}, \bar{y} + \bar{t}; k, \bar{k}) * k ,$$

gives

$$D_{0}\Phi_{1\eta}(Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = -\frac{i}{2}\eta \int \frac{dSdT}{(2\pi)^{4}} \exp i[S_{A}T^{A}] \int_{0}^{1} d\tau \\ \left[h(s,\tau\bar{y}-(1-\tau)\bar{t})J(\tau s,-(1-\tau)y+t,\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t}) -h(t,\tau\bar{y}-(1-\tau)\bar{s})J(s+(1-\tau)y,\tau t,\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t}) -h(t,\tau\bar{y}-(1-\tau)\bar{s})J(s+(1-\tau)y,\tau t,\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t}) -ih(\partial_{1}-\partial_{2},(1-\tau)\bar{t}+\tau\bar{s})J(\tau y,-(1-\tau)y;\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t};k,\bar{k})\right] * k$$

Reincarnation of y

The first two terms just give $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(w, J)$. As a result,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(w,J) = D_0 \Phi_{1\eta}(J) + \mathcal{H}'_{\eta}(w,J),$$

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\eta}(w,J) = \frac{\eta}{2} \int \frac{d\bar{s}d\bar{t}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[\bar{s}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{t}^{\dot{\alpha}}] \int_0^1 d\tau h(\partial_1 - \partial_2, (1-\tau)\bar{t} + \tau\bar{s}) \\ J(\tau y, -(1-\tau)y; \bar{y} + \bar{s}, \bar{y} + \bar{t}; k, \bar{k}) * k.$$

Being free of integration over s and t, $\mathcal{H}'_{\eta}(w, J)$ is local but contains one extra space-time derivative compared to \mathcal{H}_{cur} . A local field redefinition

$$\Phi_{2\eta} = \frac{i}{2}\eta \int \frac{d\bar{s}d\bar{t}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[\bar{s}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{t}^{\dot{\beta}}] \int_0^1 d\tau J(\tau y, -(1-\tau)y; \bar{y} + \bar{s}, \bar{y} + \bar{t}; k, \bar{k}) * k$$

then yields the current deformation with

$$a(h_1, h_2, h_J) = \eta, \qquad \bar{a}(h_1, h_2, h_J) = \bar{\eta}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(w, J) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta \, cur}(w, J) + D_0(\Phi_{1\eta} + \Phi_{2\eta})$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta \, cur}(w, J) = \frac{\eta}{4} \int \frac{d\bar{s}d\bar{t}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp i[\bar{s}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{t}^{\dot{\beta}}] \int_0^1 d\tau h(y, \tau\bar{s} + (1-\tau)\bar{t})$$

 $J(\tau y, (\tau - 1)y; \overline{y} + \overline{s}, \overline{y} + \overline{t}; k, \overline{k}) * k$.

The phase (in)dependence

- An important consequence of the flip of chirality $\bar{y} \rightarrow y$ is that the current contributions are proportional to $\eta\bar{\eta}$.
- **Spin-one example**

$$R_{1}(y,\overline{y}|x) = i \left(\eta \overline{H}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \overline{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial \overline{y}^{\dot{\beta}}} \overline{C}(0,\overline{y}|x) + \overline{\eta} H^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{\alpha}\partial y^{\beta}} C(y,0|x) \right)$$

shift of the first and second terms are proportional to $\overline{\eta}$ and η ,

- respectively.
- **Contribution to** *r.h.s.* of the Maxwell equations is proportional to $\eta\bar{\eta}$.

Comparison with previous attempts

For functions

$$f(y,\bar{y}|x) = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!m!} y_{\alpha_1} \dots y_{\alpha_n} \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}_1} \dots \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}_m} f^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \dot{\beta}_1 \dots \dot{\beta}_m (x)$$

Star product is

$$(f*g)f_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(m)} \sim \sum_{p,q,k,l,s,r=0}^{\infty} \delta_{p+q}^{n} \delta_{k+l}^{m} \frac{1}{p!q!k!l!s!t!} f_{\alpha(p)\gamma(s),\dot{\alpha}(l)\dot{\gamma}(t)} g_{\alpha(q)}^{\gamma(s)}{}_{,\dot{\alpha}(k)}^{\gamma(t)}$$

For the field redefinition induced by Φ_1

$$\Phi_{1\eta}(Y;k,\bar{k}|x) = \int \delta(1-\sum_{j=1}^{3}\tau_{i}) \prod_{i=1}^{3} d\tau_{i}\theta(\tau_{i})\delta(1-\sum_{j=1}^{3}\tau_{i})$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_{3}}J(\tau_{3}s+\tau_{1}y,t-\tau_{2}y;\bar{y}+\bar{s},\bar{y}+\bar{t};k,\bar{k})*k$$

Integration over homotopy parameters τ_i over a triangle $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \tau_i = 1$ softens the coefficients in $\Phi_{1\eta}(Y; K|x)$ compared to the star product

$$\frac{1}{p!q!k} \to \frac{p}{(p+k+l+2)!} \int_0^1 dt_1 t_1^{a_1} \dots \int_0^1 dt_p t_p^{a_p} \delta(\sum_i t_i - 1) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p a_i!}{(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i + p - 1)!}$$

implies $\varepsilon = 1$.

HS holography

The phase φ of η should be related to the Chern-Simons coupling of the boundary vector model. Does this fit the conclusion that the HS cubic vertex is φ -independent?

$$C^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}\exp(y_{\alpha}\bar{y}^{\alpha})T^{j\,1-j}(w,\bar{w}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}), \qquad w^{\alpha} = \mathbf{z}^{1/2}y^{\alpha} \quad \bar{w}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{z}^{1/2}\bar{y}^{\alpha}$$

where $T^{j 1-j}$ are associated with the boundary currents.

The contribution of HS connections at the boundary cannot be neglected except for the boundary conditions MV 2012

$$\bar{\eta}T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0) - \eta T_{-}^{1-j\,j}(i\bar{y},iy|\mathbf{x},0) = 0\,,$$

where T_+ and T_- are the positive and negative helicity parts of $T(y, \overline{y}|x)$. In terms of remaining real boundary fields

$$j^{j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\eta} T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0) + \eta T_{-}^{1-j\,j}(i\bar{y},iy|\mathbf{x},0) \right) = \bar{\eta} T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0)$$

the final result matches the form of the deformation of the HS current algebra found by Maldacena and Zhiboedov

$$V = \cos^2(\varphi)V_b + \sin^2(\varphi)V_f + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\varphi)V_o$$

Conclusion

Nonlinear HS equations properly reproduce the HS current interactions with the φ -independent coupling constant.

Explicit form of the appropriate field redefinition suggests a proper form of generalized local field redefinitions

Proper dependence on the phase parameter in the holographic duals of the AdS_4 HS theory is reproduced by the phase-independent vertex of the bulk theory HS theory via phase-dependent boundary conditions.

Green light for the analysis of HS field equations

Invariant functionals

String-like HS theory

. .

Many thanks to MIAPP!

MIAPP Directors:

Martin Beneke, Rolf Kudritzki

MIAPP Staff:

Ina Haneburger,

Kristina Jacobs,

Theresa Kaemper

Phase dependence via boundary conditions

The contribution of HS connections at the boundary cannot be neglected except for the boundary conditions MV 2012

$$\bar{\eta}T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0) - \eta T_{-}^{1-j\,j}(i\bar{y},iy|\mathbf{x},0) = 0\,,$$

where T_+ and T_- are the positive and negative helicity parts of $T(y, \bar{y}|x)$.

Upon imposing boundary conditions, remaining real boundary fields are

$$j^{j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\eta} T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0) + \eta T_{-}^{1-j\,j}(i\bar{y},iy|\mathbf{x},0) \right) = \bar{\eta} T_{+}^{j\,1-j}(y,\bar{y}|\mathbf{x},0) \,.$$

Independence of the bulk HS vertex on φ implies that the boundary vertex has the structure

$$V = \sum_{i,j=1,2} (a_{ij}T_+^{i\,1-i}T_+^{j\,1-j} + b_{ij}T_-^{i\,1-i}T_-^{j\,1-j} + e_{ij}T_-^{i\,1-i}T_+^{j\,1-j}),$$

where a_{ij} , b_{ij} and e_{ij} are some φ -independent coefficients built from components of the boundary HS connections and background fields.

In terms of real φ -independent currents V reads

$$V = \frac{1}{\eta \bar{\eta}} \sum_{i,j=1,2} (\exp 2i\varphi \, a_{ij} j_+^{i\,1-i} j_+^{j\,1-j} + \exp -2i\varphi \, b_{ij} j_-^{i\,1-i} j_-^{j\,1-j} + e_{ij} j_-^{i\,1-i} j_+^{j\,1-j}).$$

Manifest dependence on φ identifies the parity even boson ($\varphi = 0$) vertex V_+ and fermion ($\varphi = \pi/2$) vertex V_-

$$V_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\eta \bar{\eta}} \sum_{i,j=1,2} (\pm a_{ij} j_{\pm}^{i\,1-i} j_{\pm}^{j\,1-j} \pm b_{ij} j_{-}^{i\,1-i} j_{-}^{j\,1-j} + e_{ij} j_{-}^{i\,1-i} j_{\pm}^{j\,1-j}),$$

Since parity transformation exchanges the positive and negative helicities, the remaining parity-odd vertex is

$$V_o = \frac{i}{\eta \bar{\eta}} \sum_{i,j=1,2} (a_{ij} j_+^{i\,1-i} j_+^{j\,1-j} - b_{ij} j_-^{i\,1-i} j_-^{j\,1-j}).$$

This gives the following formula matching the form of the deformation of the HS current algebra found by Maldacena and Zhiboedov

$$V = \cos^2(\varphi)V_b + \sin^2(\varphi)V_f + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\varphi)V_o,$$