On Partition Functions of Higher Spin Theories

Arkady Tseytlin

"On higher spin partition functions " arXiv:1503.08143 with M. Beccaria

Motivation: learn about (i) quantum HS theories (ii) limits of AdS/CFT

Free Higher Spin theory

Flat space background:

consider collection of free massless spin s = 0, 1, 2, ... fields with gauge-invariant $\delta \varphi_{m_1...m_s} = \partial_{(m_1} \epsilon_{m_2...m_s})$ Fronsdal action $S = \int d^4x \, \partial^n \varphi^{m_1...m_s} \partial_n \varphi_{m_1...m_s} + ...$ e.g. viewed as formal flat limit of Vasiliev HS theory with no interactions: massless vector, massless graviton, etc.: for s > 0.2 d.o.f. in d = 4

curious fact: total number of d.o.f. is zero

$$1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2 = 1 + 2\zeta_R(0) = 0$$

free massless spin s partition function

$$Z_{\text{MHS},s} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{s-1\perp}}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}}\right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_{s-1})^2}{\det \Delta_s \det \Delta_{s-2}}\right]^{1/2} = \left(\left[\det \left(-\partial^2\right)\right]^{-1/2}\right)^2$$

 $\Delta_s = -\partial^2$ on symmetric rank s traceless tensor

e.g. Maxwell vector:

$$\begin{split} L &= \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F^{mn} = \frac{1}{2} A_m^{\perp} (-\partial^2) A_m^{\perp} \\ Z &= \int [dA] \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \; A_m^{\perp} (-\partial^2) A_m^{\perp}] \\ A_m &= A_m^{\perp} + \partial_m \phi, \qquad A^2 = A^{\perp} A^{\perp} + \phi (-\partial^2) \phi \\ dA &= dA^{\perp} d\phi \; [\det (-\partial^2)]^{1/2} \;, \qquad \int dA \; e^{-A^2} = 1 \\ [dA] &= dA^{\perp} = \frac{dA}{d\phi} \\ Z &= \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0}{\det \Delta_1 \perp}\right]^{1/2} \\ \Delta_0 &= -\partial^2 \;, \qquad \Delta_1 \perp = P^{\perp} \Delta_0 \end{split}$$

Then total partition function is trivial:

$$(Z_{\rm MHS})_{\rm tot} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} Z_{\rm MHS,s}$$
$$= \left[\frac{1}{\det \Delta_0}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0}{\det \Delta_{1\perp}}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{1\perp}}{\det \Delta_{2\perp}}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{2\perp}}{\det \Delta_{3\perp}}\right]^{1/2} \dots = 1$$

- cf. supersymmetric theory: B/F = 1 (e.g. vanishing of vacuum energy)
- here cancellation of physical spin s det and ghost det for spin s + 1 field
- should be reflecting large gauge symmetry of the theory
- (cf. topological theory like antisymm tensor of rank d in d + 1 dimensions or Chern-Simons or 3d gravity)
- cancellation of an infinite number of factors is formal (like 1-1+1-1+...=0): depends on grouping terms together $-\infty$ product requires regularization and its value may depend on choice
- choice of regularization should be consistent with underlying symmetry: here with higher spin gauge symmetry

case of d = 4:

$$Z_0 = \left[\frac{1}{\det \Delta_0}\right]^{1/2}, \qquad Z_{\text{MHS},s} = (Z_0)^{\nu_s}, \quad \nu_s = 2$$

 $\nu_s = (s+1)^2 + (s-1)^2 - 2s^2 = 2$

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = (Z_0)^{\nu_{\text{tot}}}$$
, $\nu_{\text{tot}} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \nu_s = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2 = 0$

d = 4: ζ-function reg. is equivalent to formal cancellation of factors in Z
cf. use of ζ-function regularization in vac energy in bosonic string: consistent with massless vector in d = 26 – symmetries of critical string
in d flat dimensions:

$$\det \Delta_s = (\det \Delta_0)^{N_s}, \ \det \Delta_{\perp s} = (\det \Delta_0)^{N_s^{\perp}}, \ N_s = \binom{s+d-1}{s} - \binom{s+d-3}{s-2}$$
$$N_s^{\perp} = N_s - N_{s-1}, \ \nu_s = N_s^{\perp} - N_{s-1}^{\perp} = 2[s + \frac{1}{2}(d-4)]\frac{(s+d-5)!}{s!(d-4)!}$$

in even d one may use regularization ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, dropping singular terms)

$$\nu_{\text{tot}} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \nu_s \left. e^{-\epsilon \left[s + \frac{1}{2} (d-4) \right]} \right|_{\text{fin.}} = 0$$

• alternative reg. in any d: cutoff function $f(s, \epsilon)$ with f(s, 0) = 1 for $\Delta_{\perp,s}$ $\nu_{\text{tot}} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[f(s, \epsilon) N_s^{\perp} - f(s-1, \epsilon) N_{s-1}^{\perp} \right] = 0$ direct analog of formal cancellation of the determinant factors Z_{tot}

Regularization vs symmetry:

- d = 4 + n dimensional theory: regularization should preserve
- d-dimensional Lorentz symmetry
- if viewed as 4d theory + KK modes $\{\phi_n\}$ requires special regularization of sum over KK mode number n (cf. no log divergences in 5d vs 4d)
- analogy between higher spins $\{\varphi_s\}$ and KK modes [Fronsdal]: HS symmetry requires special regularization of sum over sor doing computation without splitting into 4d fields with fixed s

Conformally-flat case: AdS_d

 $Z_{tot} = 1$ holds also in proper vacuum of Vasiliev theory – AdS_d Fronsdal action in AdS_d leads to similar partition function basic kinetic operator in AdS_d (k = 0, 1, ..., s - 1)

$$\Delta_s(M_{s,k}^2) \equiv -\nabla_s^2 + M_{s,k}^2 \varepsilon \qquad \qquad M_{s,k}^2 = s - (k-1)(k+d-2)$$

 $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ for unit-radius S^{d} or euclidean AdS_{d} ; $\varepsilon = 0$ in flat space Partition function of "partially-massless" field (rank k gauge parameter)

$$Z_{s,k} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{k\perp}(M_{k,s}^2)}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}(M_{s,k}^2)}\right]^{1/2}$$

Massless (maximal gauge invariance with rank s - 1 parameter) spin s field on homogeneous conformally flat space [Gaberdiel et al 2010; Gupta, Lal 2012; Metsaev 2014]

$$Z_{\text{MHS},s} = Z_{s,s-1} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{s-1\perp}(M_{s-1,s}^2)}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}(M_{s,s-1}^2)}\right]^{1/2}$$

$$Z_{\rm MHS,s} = \left[\frac{\left(\det \Delta_{s-1}(M_{s-1,s}^2)\right)^2}{\det \Delta_s(M_{s,s-1}^2) \det \Delta_{s-2}(M_{s+2,s+1}^2)}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$Z_{\rm MHS,0} = \left[\det \left(-\nabla^2 + M_0^2\right)\right]^{-1/2}, \qquad M_0^2 = 2(d-3)\varepsilon$$

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} Z_{\text{MHS},s}$$

here no immediate cancellation of factors: different for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ Using spectral ζ -function (Λ is UV cutoff, r is curvature radius)

$$\ln \det \Delta_s = -\zeta_{\Delta_s}(0) \ln(\Lambda^2 r^2) - \zeta_{\Delta_s}'(0)$$

Computing $\zeta_{\text{tot}}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{\Delta_s}(z)$ and then taking $z \to 0$: $\zeta_{\text{tot}}(z) = 0 + 0 \times z + \mathcal{O}(z^2)$ [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi: 2014]

$$\left(Z_{\rm MHS}(AdS_{\rm d})\right)_{\rm tot} = 1$$

Equivalent regularization:

$$\ln\left(Z_{\rm MHS}(AdS_{\rm d})\right)_{\rm tot} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \ln Z_{\rm MHS,s} \left. e^{-\epsilon[s+\frac{1}{2}({\rm d}-4)]} \right|_{\epsilon \to 0, \text{ fin.}} = 0$$

Remarks:

- proper-time cutoff for each s: power divergences Λ^n sum up to 0 too (cf. supersymmetric theories)
- $(Z_{\text{MHS}})_{\text{tot}} = 1$ need not apply to quotients of flat or AdS_{d} space e.g. Z_{MHS} on thermal quotient of AdS_{d} is non-trivial

• conjecture: exact vacuum partition function of Vasiliev theory =1 i.e. $(Z_{\rm MHS}(AdS_{\rm d}))_{\rm tot} = 1$ to all orders in coupling (analogy with supersymmetric or topological QFT)

• This is the consistency requirement of vectorial AdS/CFT duality: log of partition function of dual free U(N) scalar theory has only $\mathcal{O}(N)$ term that should match classical action of Vasiliev theory while all $g_{\rm HS} = 1/N$ corrections should be absent

Conformal higher spins

Flat space

free CHS field in d dimensions

$$S_s = \int d^d x \, \varphi_s P_s \partial^{2s+d-4} \varphi_s$$

 P_s = projector to transverse traceless totally symmetric rank s field Partition function in d = 4 ($\Delta_s = -\partial^2$) [AT 13]

$$Z_{\text{CHS},s} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_{s-1})^{s+1}}{(\det \Delta_s)^s}\right]^{1/2} = \prod_{k=0}^{s-1} \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{k\perp}}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}}\right]^{1/2}$$

CHS fields of dim $\Delta = 2 - s$:

• sources or "shadow fields" for spin s conserved bilinear currents $J_s(\phi)$ built out of free U(N) scalar field ϕ

- boundary values for the corresponding dual MHS theory in AdS_{d+1}
- interacting CHS theory may be defined as induced one

[AT 02; Segal 02; Bekaert, Joung, Morad 10]

integrating out ϕ in path integral with $S = \int d^4x \left[\partial \phi^* \partial \phi + \sum_s J_s(\phi) \varphi_s \right]$

 \bullet resulting interacting CHS theory contains all fields with spins $s=0,1,2,\ldots$

Total free CHS partition function in flat background

$$(Z_{\rm CHS})_{\rm tot} = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} Z_{\rm CHS,s} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0}{\det \Delta_1}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_1)^3}{(\det \Delta_2)^2}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_2)^4}{(\det \Delta_3)^3}\right]^{1/2} \dots$$

Formally cancelling similar factors

$$(Z_{\rm CHS})_{\rm tot} \rightarrow (Z_{\rm CHS})'_{\rm tot} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} \det \Delta_s$$

Alternative form (different regularization) (d = 4):

$$Z_{\text{CHS},s} = (Z_0)^{\nu_s} = [\det \Delta_0]^{-\nu_s/2}, \qquad \nu_s = s(s+1)$$
$$(Z_{\text{CHS}})_{\text{tot}} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (Z_0)^{\nu_s} = (Z_0)^{\nu_{\text{tot}}}, \qquad \nu_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \nu_s$$

Special regularization

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} F(s) \rightarrow \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} F(s) e^{-\epsilon(s + \frac{d-3}{2})} \Big|_{\text{fin.}}$$

- implied by relation to MHS theory in AdS_{d+1}
- should be the one that is consistent with symmetries of CHS theory
- implies vanishing of conformal a-anomaly [Giombi, Klebanov13; AT 13]
- implies vanishing of total number of CHS d.o.f. (e.g. in d = 4)

$$\nu_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} s(s+1) \left. e^{-\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2})} \right|_{\text{fin.}} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (Z_{\text{CHS}})_{\text{tot}} = 1$$

in general d even dimensions [AT 13]

$$Z_{\text{CHS},s} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}} \right)^{\frac{d-4}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{\det \Delta_{k\perp}}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}} \right]^{1/2} = (Z_0)^{\nu_s}$$
$$\nu_s = \frac{(d-3)(2s+d-4)(2s+d-2)(s+d-4)!}{2(d-2)! \ s!}$$
$$\nu_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \nu_s \ e^{-\epsilon(s+\frac{d-3}{2})} \Big|_{\text{fin.}} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad (Z_{\text{CHS}})_{\text{tot}} = 1$$

• ambiguity of regularization: if start with rearranged form + same cutoff $(Z_{\text{CHS}})'_{\text{tot}} = (Z_0)^{-2N_{\text{tot}}}$, $N_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (s+1)^2 = \frac{1}{24} \neq 0$

Ricci-flat space

- CHS theory expected to admit a Ricci-flat (in general Bach) solution
- each CHS field should have proper gauge invariance on such space
- free CHS partition function in $R_{mn} = 0$ background should be well-defined, i.e. gauge-independent
- Conjecture: CHS operator $\nabla^{2s} + \dots$ can be factorized into product of *s* 2-derivative spin *s* Lichnerowicz operators

$$Z_{\text{CHS},s} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_{\text{L}\,s-1})^{s+1}}{(\det \Delta_{\text{L}\,s})^s}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$\Delta_{\text{L}\,s} = -\nabla_s^2 + X_s , \qquad (X_s \,\varphi)^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} = -s(s-1) \, R_{\nu}{}^{(\mu_1}{}_{\lambda}{}^{\mu_2} \varphi^{\mu_3 \cdots \mu_s})^{\nu\lambda}$$

known to be true for s = 1, 2 but not for s = 3 [Nutma, Taronna 14] ok if ignore $D_m R_{nklp}$ terms (not giving conformal anomaly in d = 4) • then same rearrangement is possible:

$$(Z_{\rm CHS})_{\rm tot} = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} Z_{\rm CHS,s} \quad \rightarrow \quad (Z_{\rm CHS})'_{\rm tot} = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} \det \Delta_{\rm Ls}$$

• conformal anomaly [AT 13] $T_m^m = -aR^*R^* + cC^2 = (a - c)R^*R^* + 2cW, \qquad W = R_{mn}^2 - \frac{1}{3}R^2$ $c_s - a_s = \frac{1}{720}\nu_s(4 - 45\nu_s + 15\nu_s^2), \qquad \nu_s = s(s+1)$

• if use same regularization
$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} F(s) e^{-\epsilon(s+\frac{d-3}{2})}$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{c}_s - \mathbf{a}_s) = 0$$

while from computation on S^4

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_s = 0$$

implies 1-loop quantum consistency of CHS theory

Conformally-flat space: S^4

• no conformal anomaly $-Z_{tot}$ of CHS theory on conformally-flat space simply related to one in flat space?

 $Z_{\text{tot}}(S^4)$ in same regularization is again =1? Yes!

• consistent with relation to massless HS partition function in AdS_5 [Giombi et al 13; AT 13; Becaria, AT 14] (also [Barvinsky 05,14])

$$Z_{\mathrm{CHS},s}(S^4) = \frac{Z^-_{\mathrm{MHS},s}(AdS_5)}{Z^+_{\mathrm{MHS},s}(AdS_5)}$$

Indeed, $(Z_{MHS}^{\pm}(AdS_5))_{tot} = 1$ [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi 14] $\zeta_{\rm MHS}(0) = 0$ automatic in AdS_5 and $\zeta'_{\rm MHS}(0) = 0$ for both D and N b.c. • subtle issue of coordinating UV regularization in S^4 with IR in AdS_5

- verified for leading $\log \Lambda$ term on both sides and then for full result in IR reg. where AdS_5 volume factorizes
- same in systematic dimensional regularization [Dorn, Diaz 07]: non-trivial transcendental parts match (sum to 0) [Beccaria, AT 15]

$$Z_{\text{CHS},s}(S^4) = \prod_{k=0}^{s-1} Z_{s,k}$$

$$Z_{s,k} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{k\perp}(M_{k,s}^2)}{\det \Delta_{s\perp}(M_{s,k}^2)}\right]^{1/2}, \qquad M_{s,k}^2\Big|_{d=4} = s - (k-1)(k+2)$$

$$F_s = -\ln Z_{\text{CHS},s}(S^4) = 4a_s \ln \Lambda + F_s$$

$$a_s = \frac{1}{720}s^2(s+1)^2(14s^2 + 14s + 3)$$

$$F_s = -\frac{1}{6}s(s+1)(5s^2 + 5s + 1) \ln A - \frac{1}{3}s(s+1)\zeta'(-3) + \dots$$

A=Glaisher constant= $-\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\zeta'(2) + \frac{1}{12}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{12}\gamma_{\rm E}$

 $\ln A$ and $\zeta'(-3)$ terms match similar terms on AdS_5 side

coeffs. sum to 0: $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s = 0$, ..., in same regularization of \sum_s

$$(Z_{\text{CHS}})_{\text{tot}}(S^4) = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} Z_{\text{CHS},s}(S^4) = 1$$

Conformal symmetric tensor theory

Generic (non)unitary free conformal field in d = 4: $(\Delta; j_1, j_2)$ of SO(2, 4) vs corresponding dual field in AdS_5 : general formulae for partition functions on S^4 , $S^3 \times S^1$; conformal anomaly coefficients a, c

Aim: test general expressions on non-trivial example $-(1; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2})$: conformal symmetric tensor (CST)

- described by 2-derivative action
- Weyl-invariant in curved background like CHS field
- lacks proper gauge invariance of massless HS (non-unitary): only scalar gauge invariance in conf-flat space:

"maximal depth" – minimal gauge invariance– representative of family of conformal fields [Bekaert, Grigoriev 13] [CHS dual to MHS in AdS_5 is maximal gauge invariance member]

• corresponds to "maximal depth" partially massless field in AdS_5

Generalized "triple" (d = 4): [Bekaert, Grigoriev 13]

• higher-order conformal scalar operators in R^4 (higher-order singletons)

$$S = \int d^4x \, \phi_i^* (\partial^2)^\ell \phi_i$$

 \rightarrow partially conserved currents of spin s and depth t, $1 \leq t \leq s$:

$$\partial_{m_1...m_t} J^{m_1...m_s} = 0$$

Verma module $V(\Delta, s) = V(3 + s - t, s)$ reducible for $t \leq s$ irreducible module D(3 + s - t, s) = V(3 + s - t, s)/V(3 + s, s - t)[Dolan, Nappi, Witten 01; Shaynkman, Typunin, Vasiliev 04]

• sources for currents or "shadow" fields – primary conformal depth t fields: totally symmetric traceless $\varphi_{m_1...m_s}$ of dim $\Delta = 1 + t - s$ with $(\partial^2)^{1+s-t}$ action

• partially massless fields in AdS_5 with ∇^2 action

$$\delta\phi_{\mu_1\dots\mu_s} = \nabla_{\mu_1}\dots\nabla_{\mu_t}\epsilon_{\mu_{t+1}\dots\mu_s} + (g_{\mu\nu} - \text{terms})$$

dual to $J_{m_1...m_s}$ or have $\varphi_{m_1...m_s}$ as boundary values

- minimal depth case t = 1: ∂^2 scalar, conserved currents, CHS, MHS
- maximal depth case t = s: CST instead of CHS

field content of dual to $(\partial^2)^{\ell}$ scalar theory: generalized Flato-Fronsdal theorem [Bekaert, Grigoriev 13]

$$D(2-\ell,0) \otimes D(2-\ell,0) = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} D(4+s-2k,s)$$

sum over PM fields of different odd depths $t = 1, ..., 2\ell - 1$

maximal depth t = s fields do not form "closed subset" in contrast to MHS in minimal depth case Weyl invariant action for totally symmetric traceless tensor φ_s

$$\int d^d x \sqrt{g} \,\varphi_s(\nabla^2)^n \varphi_s + \dots, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
$$g'_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 \,g_{\mu\nu} \,, \qquad \varphi'_{\mu_1\dots\mu_s} = \Omega^\gamma \,\varphi_{\mu_1\dots\mu_s} \,, \qquad \gamma = s + n - \frac{1}{2}d$$

conformal operators with $n = 1, 2, \dots$ generalise scalar s = 0 GJMS family

- CHS case: $n = s + \frac{1}{2}(d-4)$ and $\gamma = 2s 2$
- CST case: n = 1 and $\gamma = s \frac{1}{2}(d-2)$

Conformally flat space:

• larger n – more gauge symmetries consistent with locality of action: CHS case maximal gauge symmetry with rank s - 1 tensor parameter CST n = 1 case – only $\delta \varphi_s = \partial^s \sigma$ scalar gauge symmetry

• less than maximal gauge symmetry:

no unitary gauge to eliminate time-like components

• 2-derivative Fronsdal massless (maximally gauge-invariant) HS is unitary but not conformally invariant

while n = 1 CST is conformally invariant but not unitary

Weyl-covariant CST n = 1 Lagragrangian in d dim [Erdmenger, Osborn 97]

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}(d) = \nabla^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} \nabla_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} - \frac{4s}{2s+d-2} \nabla_{\rho} \varphi^{\rho \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-1}} \nabla^{\lambda} \varphi_{\lambda \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-1}} + \frac{2s}{d-2} R_{\rho \lambda} \varphi^{\rho \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-1}} \varphi^{\lambda}{}_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-1}} - \frac{4s-d^{2}+4d-4}{4(d-1)(d-2)} R \varphi^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} \varphi_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} + \omega C_{\alpha \beta \rho \lambda} \varphi^{\alpha \rho \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-2}} \varphi^{\beta \lambda}{}_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s-2}}$$

C is Weyl tensor and ω is arbitrary const

 $d = 4 \text{ case: flat background:} \quad \delta \varphi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} = \partial_{\{\mu_1 \cdots \partial_{\mu_s}\}} \sigma$ $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},s} = \partial^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} \partial_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} - \frac{2s}{s+1} (\partial^{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{s-1}\lambda})^2$ $\varphi_s: \quad (1; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) \text{ representation of } SO(2, 4)$ unitary ($\Delta \ge 2 + j_1 + j_2$) only for s = 0, 1 (scalar and Maxwell) gauge parameter σ is in representation (1 - s; 0, 0)thus CST describes "short" representation

 $[1; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}] = (1; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - (1 - s; 0, 0)$

(particular degenerate module of conformal group [Shaynkman, Typunin, Vasiliev 04; Bekaert, Grigoriev 13]) s = 2, d = 4: "conformal spin 2" (not to confuse with PM field)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},2} = \nabla^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{3} (\nabla_{\mu} \varphi^{\mu\nu})^{2} + 2 R_{\rho\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\rho} \varphi^{\lambda}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{6} R \varphi^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{\mu\nu} + \omega C_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\rho} \varphi^{\nu\lambda} , \qquad \varphi_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

compare: Einstein graviton in generic background $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \ \varphi_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}h, \ h = h^{\mu}_{\mu}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm E} = \nabla^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - 2 \left[\nabla_{\mu} (\varphi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} h) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4} h \nabla^2 h + \frac{5}{3} R \varphi^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - 2 C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \varphi^{\alpha\beta}$$

with standard (vector-parameter) gauge invariance on $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ backgr.

- in contrast to massless spin 0 and spin 1 Einstein graviton does not represent conformal theory in flat space [Grishchuk 80]
- scale invariance but no special conformal invariance for all
- 2-derivative massless higher spin $s \ge 2$ fields in flat space

cf. Partially massless spin 2:

 dS_d or AdS_d background: $R = \frac{2d}{d-2}\Lambda$

$$L = \nabla^{\lambda} h^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} h_{\mu\nu} - 2(\nabla_{\mu} h^{\mu\nu})^{2} + 2\nabla_{\mu} h^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} h - \nabla^{\mu} h \nabla_{\mu} h + \frac{1}{d} R (h^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h^{2}) - \frac{1}{2} m^{2} (h^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} - h^{2}) m^{2} = \frac{d-2}{d(d-1)} R , \qquad \delta h_{\mu\nu} = (\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} + \frac{m^{2}}{d-2} g_{\mu\nu}) \epsilon$$

• flat space: conformally inv. e.o.m. [Drew, Gegenber 80; Barut, Xu 82]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},2} = \partial^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \,\partial_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{3} \left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \right)^{2}, \qquad \delta \varphi_{\mu\nu} = \left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \,\partial^{2} \right) \sigma$$
$$\partial^{2} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{3} \left(\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{(\mu} \varphi^{\alpha}_{\nu)} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \,\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \,\varphi^{\alpha\beta} \right) = 0$$

 $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$: $(\Delta; j_1, j_2) = (1; 1, 1)$ representation of SO(2, 4)non-unitary [Fang, Heidenrich, Xu 83]

• describes combination of spin 2 and two spin 1 massless on-shell fields with (9-1) - 2 = 6 physical d.o.f.

$$\varphi_{\mu\nu} = \varphi_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} + \partial_{(\mu} V_{\nu)}^{\perp} + \left(\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^{2}\right) \sigma$$

• flat-space partition function

$$Z_{\text{CST},2} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_0)^3}{\det \Delta_2}\right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{1\perp}}{\det \Delta_{2\perp}}\right]^{1/2} \frac{\det \Delta_0}{\det \Delta_{1\perp}} = Z_2(Z_1)^2$$

• attempts of curved space generalizations: on eqs of motion, with $\nabla^{\mu} \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$, non-Lagrangian [Grischuk, Yudin 80] • consistent d = 4 Lagrangian:

 $\omega = 0$ [Deser, Nepomechie 83]; $\omega = -2$ version [Leonovich 84] general form with arbitrary ω [Erdmenger, Osborn 97]

scalar gauge invariance present in conf. flat space
extends to Einstein background δφ_{µν} = (∇_µ ∇_ν - ¹/₄ g_{µν} ∇²) σ
provided ω = -2 (as in Lichnerowitz operator);
suggests possibility of consistent coupling to Einstein gravity
ω = -2 case is special: for R_{µν} = 0

kinetic operator factorizes on spin 2 and 1 parts (i.e. becomes diagonal)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},2} = \nabla^{\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{3} (\nabla_{\mu} \varphi^{\mu\nu})^{2} - 2 C_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \varphi^{\mu\rho} \varphi^{\nu\lambda}$$
$$= \varphi^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\text{L}\,2} \varphi^{\perp\,\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} V^{\perp}_{\mu} (\Delta_{\text{L}\,1})^{2} V^{\perp\,\mu}$$

where

 $\varphi_{\mu\nu} = \varphi_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} + \nabla_{(\mu} V_{\nu)}^{\perp} + \left(\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{2}\right) \sigma$

$$Z_{\rm CST,2} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_0)^2}{\det \Delta_{\rm L\,2\,\perp} \det \Delta_{\rm L\,1\,\perp}}\right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_0)^3}{\det \Delta_{\rm L\,2}}\right]^{1/2}$$

$$(\Delta_{\mathrm{L}\,2})_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = -g_{\mu(\alpha}g_{\beta)\nu}\nabla^2 - 2C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}, \ (\Delta_{\mathrm{L}\,1})_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^2, \Delta_0 = -\nabla^2$$

Partition function on S^4

unit-radius S^4 , i.e. R = 12, $\Delta_{s\perp}(M^2) = -\nabla^2 + M^2$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},2}(S^4) = \varphi_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} \,\Delta_{2\perp}(4) \,\varphi^{\perp\,\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} \,V_{\mu}^{\perp} \,\Delta_{1\perp}(3) \Delta_{1\perp}(-3) \,V^{\perp\,\mu}$$

$$Z_{\text{CST},2} = Z_{2,0} Z_{1,0} , \quad Z_{1,0} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0(0)}{\det \Delta_{1\perp}(3)}\right]^{1/2} , \quad Z_{2,0} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0(-4)}{\det \Delta_{2\perp}(4)}\right]^{1/2}$$

 $Z_{1,0}=$ Maxwell partition function $Z_{2,0}=$ partition function of s = 2 partially massless field • Compare to $Z_{\text{CHS},2} = Z_{2,1}Z_{2,0} =$ Weyl graviton partition function $Z_{2,1} =$ Einstein graviton partition function $= \left[\frac{\det \Delta_{1\perp}(-3)}{\det \Delta_{2\perp}(2)}\right]^{1/2}$

$$Z_{\rm CST,2} = \frac{Z_{\rm CHS,2} \ Z_{1,0}}{Z_{2,1}}$$

• partition function on $S^1_\beta \times S^3$ has interpretation

in terms of counting of conformal operators in spin 2 CFT in \mathbb{R}^4 for "shortened" representation with shadow counterpart (3; 1, 1) - (5; 0, 0)

Conformal anomaly coefficients

d = 4 conformal anomaly

$$T = \beta_1 R^* R^* + \beta_2 \left(R_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \right) = -a R^* R^* + c C^2 , \quad \beta_1 = c - a , \quad \beta_2 = 2c$$

can be found by two separate computations: (i) on conformally-flat space, e.g., S^4 – a coefficient (ii) on a Ricci-flat space – c-a coefficient

a: follows from S^4 partition function (does not depend on ω) $a[\Delta_{\perp s}(M^2)] = \frac{1}{720}(2s+1) \left[30s^3 + 85s^2 + 10s - 58 - 30(s^2 - 2)M^2 - 15M^4 \right]$ $a_{\text{CST},2} = a_{2,0} + a_{1,0} = \frac{53}{45} + \frac{31}{180} = \frac{27}{20}$

c: Z is non-trivial for generic ω – non-diagonal $K^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}$ + ... simplifies for $\omega = -2$: then from Z on $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ background using $\beta_1[\Delta_{Ls}] = \frac{1}{720}(s+1)^2 [21-20(s+1)^2+3(s+1)^4]$ $(c-a)_{CST,2} = \frac{31}{30}$, i.e. $c_{CST,2} = \frac{143}{60}$

AdS/CFT relation

Aim: check general expressions for conformal anomaly coefficients of massive SO(2, 4) representations found using [Beccaria, AT 14]

$$Z(\partial M^5) = \frac{Z^-(M^5)}{Z^+(M^5)}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^- - \mathcal{A}^+ = -2\mathcal{A}^+$$

• 4d conformal field $(\Delta'; j_1, j_2)$: corresponding shadow field $(\Delta; j_1, j_2)$ with $\Delta = 4 - \Delta'$ is associated (as a boundary value) to massive higher spin in AdS_5 with mass $m^2 = (\Delta - 2)^2 - s^2$, $s = j_1 + j_2$ (kinetic operator for $j_1 \ge j_2$ is $-\nabla^2 + \Delta(\Delta - 4) - 2j_1$ [Metsaev 03]) • massive field in long rep. $(\Delta; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2})$: get via AdS_5 [Giombi et al 13] $\widehat{a}(\Delta; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) = \frac{1}{720}(s+1)^2(\Delta - 2)^3(-3\Delta^2 + 12\Delta + 5s^2 + 10s - 7)$ • non-unitary CST 4d field [1; 1, 1] = (1; 1, 1) - (-1; 0, 0) \rightarrow "partially massless" [3; 1, 1] = (3; 1, 1) - (5; 0, 0) spin 2 in AdS_5

 $(\Delta' = 1 \text{ for rank } 2 \text{ field and } \Delta' = -1 \text{ for scalar gauge parameter})$

$$a_{CST,2} = \hat{a}(3;1,1) - \hat{a}(5;0,0) = \frac{27}{20}$$

• c – a for field associated to $(\Delta; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2})$ massive field in AdS_5 [Beccaria, AT 14] (cf. [Mansfield et al 03, Ardehali et al 13])

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{c}} - \widehat{\mathbf{a}})(\Delta; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) = \frac{1}{720}(s+1)^2(\Delta-2)\left[-3(\Delta-2)^4 - 5(s^2+2s-3)(\Delta-2)^2 + 8s^3 + 2s^2 - 12s - 8\right]$$
$$\mathbf{c}_{\text{CST},2} = \widehat{\mathbf{c}}(3; 1, 1) - \widehat{\mathbf{c}}(5; 0, 0) = \frac{143}{60}$$

Agreement with direct computations in 4d

Conformal symmetric tensor of rank s

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CST},s}$ in d = 4 for s > 2:

• flat-space scalar gauge invariance survives in curved space only in conformally-flat case $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = 0$

e.g. absent for s > 2 in Ricci flat background for any value of ω (cf. analogy with standard massless s > 2 HS with ∂^2 action)

- Weyl-covariant kinetic operator does not factorize (non-minimal) if $C \neq 0$
- flat space:

$$Z_{\text{CST},s} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_0)^{s+1}}{\det \Delta_s}\right]^{1/2} = \prod_{k=1}^s \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0}{\det \Delta_{k\perp}}\right]^{1/2} = (Z_0)^{\nu_s}$$
$$\nu_s = N_s - (s+1) = \left[\frac{(2s+d-2)(s+d-3)!}{(d-2)!s!} - s - 1\right]_{d=4} = s(s+1)$$

• same number of d.o.f. as for CHS field of same spin: flat-space partition functions match, i.e. (in same regularization)

$$(Z_{\rm CST})_{\rm tot} \equiv \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} Z_{\rm CST,s} = (Z_{\rm CHS})_{\rm tot} = 1$$

• counting conformal gauge-invariant operators $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(q) = \sum_r \mathrm{d}_r q^{\Delta_r}$ in agreement with partition function on conformally-flat $S^1_\beta \times S^3$

$$Z_{\text{CST},s}(S^{1}_{\beta} \times S^{3}) = \prod_{k=1}^{s} \left[\frac{1}{(\det \Delta_{k\perp})^{s-k+1}} \right]^{1/2} = \exp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{CST},s}(q^{m})$$

 $q = e^{-\beta}$ and $\Delta_{k\perp}$ defined on 3d tensors in decomposition of φ_s single-particle partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{CST},s}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{s-k+1} \sum_{\substack{n=r-1\\n=r-1}}^{\infty} 2(n+1)(n+2k+1)q^{n+s-2r+3}$$
$$= \frac{2q^2[q^{s+1}-(s+1)^2q+s(s+2)]}{(1-q)^4} = \frac{2q^2[s(s+2)-q-q^2-\dots-q^s]}{(1-q)^3}$$

• partition function on S^4 :

$$Z_{\text{CST},s}(S^4) = \prod_{k=1}^{s} Z_{k,0} , \qquad Z_{k,0} = \left[\frac{\det \Delta_0(M_{0,k}^2)}{\det \Delta_{k\perp}(M_{k,0}^2)}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$M_{k,0}^2 = 2 + k , \qquad M_{0,k}^2 = 2 - k - k^2$$

• a-coefficient of conformal anomaly

$$a_{CST,s} = \frac{1}{720}s(s+1)^2(3s^2 + 14s + 14)$$

a_{tot} = ∑_{s=1}[∞] a_{CST,s} ≠ 0 does not vanish in any natural regularization but no a priori reason why one needs to sum over all *s* here in MHS and CHS cases summation was implied, in particular, by AdS/CFT duality and relation to conserved currents of boundary theory
c-coefficient: computation on Ricci-flat space is problematic:
(i) lack of scalar gauge inv. – partition function is gauge dependent
(ii) the non-minimal nature (lack of factorization) of kinetic operator need more complicated methods [Moss, Toms 13; Barvinsky,Vilkovisky 88] ignoring these problems (as in CHS case this may not affect c)

$$\widetilde{Z}_{\text{CST},s} = \left[\frac{(\det \Delta_0)^{s+1}}{\det \Delta_{\text{L}s}}\right]^{1/2}$$

(c - a)_{CST,s} = $\frac{1}{720}s(s+1)(3s^4 + 15s^3 + 10s^2 - 30s - 24)$

AdS_5 connection

• CST field: $[1, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}] = (1, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - (1 - s, 0, 0)$ associated to spin *s* field in AdS_5 (shadow representation)

$$[3, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}] = (3; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - (3 + s; 0, 0)$$

"maximal-depth" partially massless field in AdS_5 [Bekaert, Grigoriev 13]

• one-particle \mathcal{Z} of 4d CFT written in terms of AdS_5 partition functions or conformal characters [Beccaria, Bekaert, AT 14; Beccaria, AT 14]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{CST},s}(q) = \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{-}(q) - \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{+}(q) = \mathcal{Z}^{+}(q^{-1}) - \mathcal{Z}^{+}(q) + \sigma(q)$$
$$\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{+}(q) = \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}(3; \, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}(s+3; \, 0, 0) = \frac{(s+1)^{2} \, q^{3} - q^{s+3}}{(1-q)^{4}}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^+(\Delta; j_1, j_2) = (2j_1 + 1)(2j_2 + 1)\frac{q^{\Delta}}{(1-q)^4}$$
 is character of long rep.

"correction term" due to scalar gauge symmetry:

$$\sigma(q) = \frac{1}{6}s(s+1)(s+2) + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{n=1}^{s-1}n(n+1)(n+2)(q^{n-s}+q^{s-n})$$

• a-anomaly computed using AdS_5 relation

$$a_{\text{CST},s} = \widehat{a}(3; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - \widehat{a}(3+s; 0, 0) = \frac{1}{720}s(s+1)^2(3s^2 + 14s + 14)$$

• formal c expression also matches: $c_{CST,s} = c(3; \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}) - c(3 + s; 0, 0)$

Conclusions

• remarkable symmetries of (bosonic) higher spin theories with all spins included:

one-loop vacuum Z = 1; zero effective number of d.o.f.; cancellation of conformal anomalies (UV divergences)

• importance of regularization of sum over *s* consistent with symmetries: crucial part of definition of quantum theory

• MHS and CHS families are special, but CST is not

• interesting example of "conformal spin 2" field: non-unitary, related to "partially massless" field in AdS_5

• check of expressions for partition functions and conformal anomalies for generic 4d conformal fields: consistent with "kinematical" AdS_5/CFT_4