Holographic thermalization in heavy ion collsions

Based on works

I. Arefeva, Holographic approach to quark-gluon plasma in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Usp. 57, 527 (2014).

D. Ageev, I. Arefeva, Holographic Thermalization in Quark Confining Background, arXiv:1409.7558

I. Arefeva, A. Golubtsova, Shock waves in Lifshitz-like spacetimes, arXiv:1410.4595

Outlook

- Physical picture of formation of Quark-Gluon Plasma in Heavy-Ions Collisions (HIC)
- Why holography?
- Results from holography

(fit of experimental data via holography: top-down, bottom-up)

- Holography description of static QGP
 - qq-potential, thousands of papers
- Holography description of QGP formation in HIC
 - Thermalization time
 - Multiplicity

QGP is a state of matter formed from deconfined quarks, antiquarks, and gluons at high temperature

QCD: asymptotic freedom, quark confinement

T increases, or density increases



There are <u>strong experimental evidences</u> that RHIC or LHC have created <u>some medium which behaves collectively</u>:

- modification of particle spectra (compared to p+p)
- jet quenching
- high p_T-suppression of hadrons
- elliptic flow
- suppression of quarkonium production

QGP as a strongly coupled fluid

- Conclusion from the RHIC and LHC experiments: appearance of QGP (not a weakly coupled gas of quarks and gluons, but a strongly coupled fluid).
- This makes <u>perturbative methods</u> inapplicable
- The <u>lattice formulation</u> of QCD does not work, since we have to study real-time phenomena.
- This has provided a motivation to try to understand the dynamics of QGP through the gauge/string duality

Dual description of QGP as a part of Gauge/string duality

- <u>There is not yet exist a gravity dual construction for QCD.</u>
- Differences between N = 4 SYM and QCD are less significant, when quarks and gluons are in the deconfined phase (because of the conformal symmetry at the quantum level N = 4 SYM theory does not exhibit confinement.)
- Lattice calculations show that QCD exhibits a quasi-conformal behavior at temperatures T >300 MeV and the equation of state can be approximated by ¹ = 3 P (a traceless conformal energy-momentum tensor).
- This motivates to use the AdS/CFT correspondence as a tool to get non-perturbative dynamics of QGP.
- There is the considerable success in description of the static QGP. Review: Solana, Liu, Mateos, Rajagopal, Wiedemann, 1101.0618

I. Arefeva., Holographic approach for quark-gluon plasma in heavy ion collsions, UFN, v184, 2014

Holography and AdS/CFT correspondence

$$\left\langle \exp\left(\int_{\partial M} \phi_0 \mathcal{O} d^D x\right) \right\rangle$$
$$= \exp\left\{-S[\phi_{\rm cl}(\phi_0)]\right\}$$

Maldacena, 1997 Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov Witten, 1998

M=AdS, BHAdS,...

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}, z), \quad S_g[\phi], \quad \delta S[\phi_{cl}] = 0$$
$$\phi_c |_{\partial M} = \phi_0$$

+ requirement of regularity at horizon

Correlators with/without Temperature via AdS/CFT

Example I. AdS, D=2+1 $< O_{\Delta}(t,x)O_{\Delta}(t,x') > \sim \frac{1}{|x-x'|^{2\Delta}}$ $ds^{2} = \frac{-dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dz^{2}}{z^{2}}$

Example II. BHAdS, D=2+1



 $r_{H} = 2 \pi T$ Temperatute

 $< O_{\Delta}(t,x) O_{\Delta}(t,x') >_{T} \sim \frac{1}{|\sinh(\pi T | x - x' |)|^{2\Delta}}$ B

Bose gas

Holography for thermal states



TQFT = QFT with temperature

Holographic Description of <u>Formation</u> of QGP

(Holographic thermalization)



Black Hole <u>formation</u> in Anti de Sitter (D+1)-dim space-time

Models of BH creation in D=5 and their meaning in D=4

$$g_{MN} \Rightarrow g_{MN}^{(0)} + g_{MN}^{(1)}$$

$$Z_{ren}(z_0) g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} |_{boundary} = T_{\mu\nu}$$

Main idea: make some perturbations of AdS metric that near the boundary "mimic" the mater (heavy ions) collisions and see what happens.

Holographic thermalization

How to "mimic" the heavy ions collision

Models: shock waves collision in AdS

colliding ultrarelativistic particles in AdS₃ (toy model)

infalling shell

Holographic thermalization

Physical quantities that we expect to estimate:

D=5 AdS

D=4 Minkowski

 Black hole formation time



• Thermalization time

• Entropy

• Multiplicity

Multiplicity

Experimental data

Plot from: ATLAS Collaboration 1108.6027



Multiplicity as entropy

D=4. Macroscopic theory of high-energy collisions Landau(1953); Fermi(1950) thermodynamics, hydrodynamics, kinetic theory, ...

D=5. Holographic approach

Main conjecture: multiplicity is proportional to entropy of produced D=5 Black Hole

$$\mathcal{M}_{4} \sim S_{5}$$

Gubser et al: 0805.1551

The minimal black hole entropy can be estimated by trapped surface area

$$S \ge S_{trapped} = A_{trapped} / 4G_N$$

Gubser, Pufu, Yarom, JHEP , 2009 Alvarez-Gaume, C. Gomez, Vera, Tavanfar, Vazquez-Mozo, PLB, 2009 I. Arefeva, A. Bagrov, E. Guseva, JHEP, 2009 Kiritsis, Taliotis, JHEP, 2011

Nucleus collision in AdS/CFT

$$\langle T_{--} \rangle \sim \mu \, \delta(x^{-})$$

$$\langle T_{_{++}} \rangle \sim \mu \, \delta(x^{_+})$$



$$ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \bigg[-2 \, dx^{+} dx^{-} + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{N_{C}^{2}} \Big\langle T_{--}(x^{-}) \Big\rangle z^{4} \, dx^{-2} + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{N_{C}^{2}} \Big\langle T_{++}(x^{+}) \Big\rangle z^{4} \, dx^{+2} + dx_{\perp}^{2} + dz^{2} \bigg]$$

The metric of two shock waves in AdS corresponding to collision of two ultrarelativistic nucleus in 4D

Multiplicity: Holographic formula vs experimental data

The simple holographic model gives

 $dN_{ch}/d\eta \sim s_{NN}^{1/3}$



Search for models with suitable entropy

Metric with modified b-factor

IHQCD Gursoy, K

Gursoy, Kiritsis, Nitti

$$S_5 = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_5} \int \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{d(d-1)}{L^2} - \frac{4}{3} (\partial \Phi)^2 + V(\Phi_s) \right] dx^5$$

$$ds^{2} = b^{2}(z)(-dt^{2} + dz^{2} + dx_{i}^{2})$$

Reproduces 2-loops QCD beta-function

Reproduce an asymptotically-linear glueball spectrum

Search for models with suitable entropy

Kiritsis, Taliotis, JHEP(2012)

Shock wave metric with modified b-factor

$$ds^{2} = b^{2}(z) \left(dz^{2} + dx^{i} dx^{i} - dx^{+} dx^{-} + \phi(z, x^{1}, x^{2}) \delta(x^{+}) (dx^{+})^{2} \right)$$

Typical behavour

$$b(z) = \frac{L}{z}e^{-z^2/z_0^2}$$

 $s_{NN}^{\delta_1} \ln^{\delta_2} s_{NN}$ $\delta_1 \approx 0.225, \quad \delta_2 \approx 0.718$

not 0.15

Shock wall with modified by b-factor

Description of HIC by the wall-wall shock wave collisions

S. Lin, E. Shuryak, 0902.1508 I. Arefeva., Bagrov and E.Pozdeeva, JHEP(2012)

$$ds^{2} = b^{2}(z) \left(dz^{2} + dx^{i} dx^{i} - dx^{+} dx^{-} + \phi(z, x^{1}, x^{2}) \delta(x^{+}) (dx^{+})^{2} \right)$$

$$\left(\partial_z^2 + \frac{3b'}{b}\partial_z\right)\phi^w(z) = -16\pi G_5 \frac{E^*}{b^3}\delta(z_* - z)$$

I. Arefeva., E.Pozdeeva, T.Pozdeeva (2013, 2014)

Power-law b-factor

 $b = (L/z)^a$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{walls}} = \frac{L}{2G_5} \left(\frac{8\pi G_5}{L^2}\right)^{\frac{3a-1}{3a}} E^{\frac{3a-1}{3a}}$$

The multiplicity depends as $s^{0.15}_{NN}$ in the range 10-10³ GeV

T

Power-law b-factor coinsides with experimental data at *a*≈0.47.

We consider

$$b(z) = \frac{L}{z^{1/2}}$$

Price: non standard kinetic term !?

Multiplicity VS. quark potential

$$ds^{2} = b^{2}(z)(-dt^{2} + dz^{2} + dx_{i}^{2})$$
$$b^{2}(z) = \frac{L^{2}h(z)}{z^{2}}$$
$$h = e^{\frac{az^{2}}{2}}$$

AdS with soft-wall

O. Andreev and V. Zakharov hep-ph/0604204 R.Galow at al, 0911.0627 S.He, M.Huang, Q.Yan 1004.1880

Multiplicity VS quark potential



Multiplicity and quark potential

 $\frac{L^2 e^{\frac{az^2}{2}}}{z^2} \approx \frac{L^2}{zL_{eff}}$

Intermediate scale!



D.Ageev, I. Arefeva arXiv:1409.7558





Restriction on energy !!!!!!

Pack the trapped surface in the intermediate scale.

$$\frac{C}{2} = b^3(z_a) + b^3(z_b) \quad C = \frac{16\pi G_5 E}{L^2}$$

$$s = \frac{S_{\text{trap}}}{\int d^2 x_{\perp}} = \frac{1}{2G_5} \int_{z_a}^{z_b} b^3 \, dz$$

Multiplicity and quark potential.

Restriction on energy !!!!!!



Estimation of the termalization time

Small energies!

$$\tau \sim \frac{z_{UV} + z_{IR}}{4}$$

Anisotropy during thermalization

In the past: it has been claimed that the preequilibrium period can only exist for up to 1 fm/c and after that, the QGP becomes isotropic

Now: corrections to ideal isotropic behavior even at times ~2 fm/c

Anisotropy after thermalization

- Experimental evidence for anisotropies: jet quenching, changes in R-mod.factor, photon and dilepton, yields,
- QGP is anisotropic for a short time after collision

$$0 < au_{therm} < au < au_{iso}$$

 $au_{therm} pprox 0.1 \div 0.3 [fm]$

• The time of locally isotropization is about

$$\tau_{iso} \sim 2fm$$

This gives a reason to consider BH formation in anizotropic background

Multiplicity with anisotropic Lifshitz background

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{|g|} \left[R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{12}H_3^2 - \frac{m_0^2}{2}B_2^2 \right]$$

$$H_3 = 2\sqrt{\frac{\nu - 1}{\nu}}\rho d\rho \wedge dt \wedge dx, \quad B_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\nu - 1}{\nu}}\rho^2 dt \wedge dx$$

$$ds^{2} = \rho^{2} \left(-dt^{2} + dx^{2} \right) + \rho^{2/\nu} \left(dy_{1}^{2} + dy_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{d\rho^{2}}{\rho^{2}}$$

$$\Lambda = 5 + \frac{6}{\nu} + \frac{3}{\nu^2}$$

Shock wave

 $z = 1/\rho$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\phi(y_{1}, y_{2}, z)\delta(u)}{z^{2}}du^{2} - \frac{1}{z^{2}}dudv + z^{-2/\nu}\left(dy_{1}^{2} + dy_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}}dudv + \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2$$

Multiplicity with anisotropic Lifshitz background

Domain wall

$$\left[\Box_3 - \left(1 + \frac{2}{\nu}\right)\right] \frac{\phi(z)}{z} = -16\pi G_5 z J_{uu} \qquad J_{uu} = z^{1+2/\nu} \delta(z - z_*)$$

$$\phi = \phi_a \Theta(z_* - z) + \phi_b \Theta(z - z_*),$$

$$\begin{split} \phi_a(z) &= C_0 z_a z_b \left(\frac{z_*^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}}{z_b^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{z^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}}{z_a^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}} - 1 \right), \\ \phi_b(z) &= C_0 z_a z_b \left(\frac{z_*^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}}{z_a^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{z^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}}{z_b^{(2\nu+1)/\nu}} - 1 \right), \\ C_0 &= -\frac{16\nu\pi G_4 E z_a^{1+1/\nu} z_b^{1+1/\nu}}{(2\nu+1)L^{\frac{1}{\nu}+3} (z_b^{(2\nu+1)/\nu} - z_a^{(2\nu+1)/\nu})}. \end{split}$$

Multiplicity with anisotropic Lifshitz background

Colliding Domain Walls

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{1}{z^{2}}dudv + \frac{1}{z^{2}}\phi_{1}(y_{1}, y_{2}, z)\delta(u)du^{2} + \frac{1}{z^{2}}\phi_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}, z)\delta(u)dv^{2} + \frac{1}{z^{2}}\phi_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}, z)\delta(u)d$$





Anisotropic solutions in D3D7

Takayanagi et. al., Mateos et. al., : Anis. Deform. of AdS₅ in UV Lif –like in IR

Axion-dilaton-gravity action (in the Einstein frame)

$$S_{bulk} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 12 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} (\partial \chi)^2 \right) \quad + \text{Bnd.trm}$$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi}}{u^{2}} \left(-\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B} dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + \mathcal{H} dz^{2} + \frac{du^{2}}{\mathcal{F}} \right)$$

$$\chi = az, \quad \phi = \phi(u)$$

Lif –like in IR $ds^2 = -\rho^2 du dv + \rho^2 dy^2 + \rho^{4/3} dw^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2}$

One SW

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left(\phi \delta(u) du^{2} - du dv + z^{-2} dy^{2} + z^{2/3} dw^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} \right)$$

Conclusion

Formation of QGP of 4-dim QCD \Leftrightarrow BH formation in 5-dim

BH formation in isotropic 5-dim models (AdS, b-factors)

1) Multiplicity

2) Cornell qq-potential

Restriction on energy!

 $S_{data} \propto S_{NN}^{0.15}$

- BH formation in anisotropic 5-dim models:
 - in Lif-like (Multiplicity OK!)
 in background with a flow from AdS to Lif-like (work in progress ?).